

Advanced Phonological Theory B – Lecture 1: Intro to Feature Geometry

Wouter Jansen
wouter.jansen@kuvik.net

January 12, 2005

Lecture 1: Intro to Feature Geometry

Goals of segmental phonology

- To account for possible (synchronic) segmental processes
- (For some) to account for the (broad) typological frequency of processes (e.g., [Clements 1985](#))
- To account for possible segment inventories
- (For some) to account for the (broad) typological frequency of specific types of inventories

Lecture 1: Intro to Feature Geometry

1

Goals of segmental phonology

- To many phonologists, to **account** for something means two things:
 1. to accurately **represent** it
 2. the ability to predict (some) of its properties on the basis of propositions that are independently known to be true

Lecture 1: Intro to Feature Geometry

2

Synchronic processes

- Place assimilation affects the place of articulation of pre-consonantal (non-fricative) alveolars in English:

/ɔl/ + /ðɛɹ/	[ɔ: _l ðɛɹ]	'all there'
/ɪn/ + /θɜ:sk/	[ɪn _θ ɜ:sk]	'in Thirsk'
/ɪn/ + /dʌblɪn/	[ɪndʌblɪn]	'in Dublin'
/ɔl/ + /twɛntɪ/	[ɔ: _l twɛntɪ]	'all twenty'
/gɛt/ + /ɹɛst/	[gɛt _ɹ ɛst]	'get rest'
/ɪn/ + /ɹoʊm/	[ɪn _ɹ oʊm]	'in Rome'

Lecture 1: Intro to Feature Geometry

3

Synchronic processes

- Hindi nasal place assimilation (data from [Gussenhoven & Jacobs 1998](#)):

/sam/ + /a:ka:r/	[sama:ka:r]	'homophonous'
/sam/ + /ki:rṭan/	[saŋki:rṭan]	'collective devotional singing'
/sam/ + /ṭo:l/	[saṅṭo:l]	'equilibrium'
/sam/ + /calan/	[saŋcalan]	'movement'
/sam/ + /na:d/	[sanna:d]	'consonance'

Synchronic processes

- Eastern Cheremis color harmony (data from [Odden 1991](#)):

[surt-šo]	'his house'
[boz-šo]	'his wagon'
[kornə-žo]	'his way'
[kit-še]	'his hand'
[bokten-že]	'beside it'
[šužar-že]	'his sister'
[üp-šö]	'his hair'
[šör-žö]	'its milk'
[pörtəštə-žö]	'in his house'

Synchronic processes

- Turkish vowel harmony:

Stem	Acc.Sg.	Gen.Sg.	Nom.Pl.	
[ip]	[ip-i]	[ip-in]	[ip-ler]	'rope'
[kiz]	[kiz-i]	[kiz-in]	[kiz-lar]	'girl'
[yüz]	[yüz-ü]	[yüz-ün]	[yüz-ler]	'face'
[pul]	[pulu]	[pul-un]	[pul-lar]	'stamp'
[el]	[el-i]	[el-in]	[el-ler]	'hand'
[sap]	[sap-i]	[sap-in]	[sap-lar]	'stalk'
[köy]	[köy-ü]	[köy-ün]	[köy-ler]	'village'
[son]	[son-u]	[son-un]	[son-lar]	'end'

Traditional tools

- Traditional (SPE-style) **linear** segmental representation uses **feature matrices**
- ... comprising a bundle of feature-value pairs corresponding to every segment
- Features are drawn from universal set with 20-odd members
- Features are (minimally) binary
- Full specification: all (contrastive) features are specified for every sound

Traditional tools: problems

- (minor) contour sounds and complex sounds are difficult to represent using (single) feature bundles
- No means to capture **feature class behaviour**: unattested rules are as easy to state as possible (and frequent) ones
- In some cases, it's hard to capture crosslinguistic similarities in a simple fashion: no formal way of saying 'nasals are homorganic with a following consonant'
- Ditto for inventories

Traditional tools: problems

- A possible and frequent rule:

$$\begin{array}{c} C \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} -\text{continuant} \\ +\text{coronal} \end{array} \right] \end{array} \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha_{\text{anterior}} \\ \beta_{\text{coronal}} \\ \gamma_{\text{distributed}} \end{array} \right] / \text{---} \begin{array}{c} C \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha_{\text{anterior}} \\ \beta_{\text{coronal}} \\ \gamma_{\text{distributed}} \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

- An unattested rule of the same complexity:

$$\begin{array}{c} C \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} -\text{sonorant} \\ +\text{coronal} \end{array} \right] \end{array} \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha_{\text{anterior}} \\ \beta_{\text{voice}} \\ \gamma_{\text{stident}} \end{array} \right] / \text{---} \begin{array}{c} C \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha_{\text{anterior}} \\ \beta_{\text{coronal}} \\ \gamma_{\text{distributed}} \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

Traditional tools: problems

- A possible rule (Cheremis color harmony):

$$V \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha_{\text{back}} \\ \beta_{\text{round}} \end{array} \right] / \begin{array}{c} V \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha_{\text{back}} \\ \beta_{\text{round}} \end{array} \right] \end{array} C_0 \text{---}$$

- An unattested rule of the same complexity:


$$V \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha_{\text{high}} \\ \beta_{\text{round}} \end{array} \right] / \begin{array}{c} V \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha_{\text{high}} \\ \beta_{\text{round}} \end{array} \right] \end{array} C_0 \text{---}$$

Formalising feature classes

- A solution to this problem is to grant formal status to sets of features that pattern together on a regular basis
- The most common way to implement this strategy is to define submatrices or constituents within feature bundles
- ...and to stipulate that only those sets of features that correspond to a submatrix/constituent may pattern together in rules

Formalising feature classes


- Place features bundled together in a submatrix:




Feature Geometry

- Feature Geometry (Clements 1985; Sagey 1986; McCarthy 1988; Clements & Hume 1995 among others) employs feature trees or geometries to represent privileged feature classes
- Non-terminal nodes represent feature classes; terminal nodes represent more specific phonetic content
- The **association lines** connecting nodes represent phonological dependency and (in the phonetic realm) temporal overlap

Feature Geometry




Feature Geometry




The formalisation of assimilation rules

- Assimilation (and harmony) are expressed as the association or **spreading** of subordinate nodes to dominant nodes of the appropriate type:




The formalisation of neutralisation rules


- Neutralisation is expressed as the de-association or **delinking** of subordinate nodes from a dominant node:



Modelling Hindi PA (1)



Modelling Hindi PA (2)



References

Clements, N. (1985) The geometry of phonological features. *Phonology Yearbook* 2: 225-252.

Clements, N. & E. Hume (1995) The internal organization of speech sounds. In J. Goldsmith (ed.) *The Handbook of Phonological Theory*. London: Blackwell.

Gussenhoven, C. & H. Jacobs (1998) *Understanding Phonology*. London: Arnold.

McCarthy, J. (1988) Feature geometry and dependency: a review. *Phonetica* 43:84-108.

Odden, D. (1991) Vowel geometry. *Phonology* 8: 261-289.

Sagey, E. (1986) The representation of features and relations in non-linear phonology. PhD dissertation, MIT.